

Keywords: low-dose-rate; long-term cumulative exposure; cancer; Co-60-contaminated steel

30 years follow-up and increased risks of breast cancer and leukaemia after long-term low-dose-rate radiation exposure

Wan-Hua Hsieh^{1,7}, I-Feng Lin^{2,7}, Jung-Chun Ho^{3,4} and Peter Wushou Chang^{*,5,6}

¹Department of Public Health, Tzu Chi University, Hualien 970, Taiwan; ²Institute of Public Health, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei 112, Taiwan; ³School of Oral Hygiene, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 110, Taiwan; ⁴Department of Nursing, Kang-Ning University, Taipei 114, Taiwan; ⁵Taipei Hospital, Ministry of Health and Welfare, New Taipei City 242, Taiwan and ⁶National Health Research Institute, Miaoli 350, Taiwan

Background: The current study followed-up site-specific cancer risks in an unique cohort with 30 years' follow-up after long-term low-dose-rate radiation exposure in Taiwan.

Methods: 6242 Taiwanese people received extra exposure in residential and school buildings constructed with Co-60-contaminated steel from 1982 until informed and relocated in early 1990s. The additional doses received have been estimated. During 1983–2012, 300 cancer cases were identified through the national cancer registry in Taiwan, 247 cases with minimum latent periods from initial exposure. The hazard ratios (HR) of site-specific cancers were estimated with additional cumulative exposure estimated individually.

Results: Dose-dependent risks were statistically significantly increased for leukaemia excluding chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (HR_{100mSv} 1.18; 90% CI 1.04–1.28), breast cancers (HR_{100mSv} 1.11; 90% CI 1.05–1.20), and all cancers (HR_{100mSv} 1.05; 90% CI 1.0–1.08, $P=0.04$). Women with an initial age of exposure lower than 20 were shown with dose response increase in breast cancers risks (HR_{100mSv} 1.38; 90% CI 1.14–1.60; $P=0.0008$).

Conclusions: Radiation exposure before age 20 was associated with a significantly increased risk of breast cancer at much lower radiation exposure than observed previously.

The effects of acute radiation exposure on cancer risks have been well established in non-occupational exposed general populations (Preston *et al*, 1994, 2007; Ozasa *et al*, 2012; Hsu *et al*, 2013; Leuraud *et al*, 2015). Although occupational radiation hazards often involve long-term low-level exposure in adults, healthy worker effects cannot be neglected. Recent studies from protracted low-level radiation exposure have observed increased risks on leukaemia incidence, such as in the Techa River Cohort study (Krestinina *et al*, 2013; Richardson *et al*, 2015) and the International Nuclear WORKers Study (INWORKS; Krestinina

et al, 2013). Similar to these results were solid cancer mortality (Schonfeld *et al*, 2013) and solid cancer incidence after adjusted smoking among the Techa Tiver Cohort study (Davis *et al*, 2015). The risks of prolonged low-level ionising radiation on site-specific cancers in the general population remain to be further examined.

In late 1982, over 200 schools and residential buildings constructed in Taiwan accidentally used >20 000 tons of steel rebar contaminated with cobalt-60 (Chang, 1993, 1997; Chang and Kau, 1993). It was not until early 1992 that residents and students who resided or studied in these buildings were identified and

*Correspondence: Dr PW Chang; E-mail: peter.chang3@gmail.com

⁷These authors made equal contributions to the work.

Received 20 April 2017; revised 19 August 2017; accepted 7 September 2017

© 2017 Cancer Research UK. All rights reserved 0007–0920/17

informed as exposed to excessive cumulative radiation. The governmental regulator conducted a nationwide survey of buildings suspected to contain contaminated steel, whereas a radiation-contaminated buildings (RCB) epidemiological study registered ~10 000 citizens having received protracted low-dose-rate radiation exposure since 1982. However, when the study started in late 1992, some of them and particularly students had already leaved and without adequate information for exposure assessment. Eventually, 6242 were with adequate information for cumulative exposure assessment system Taiwan Cumulative Dose (TCD) established on an individual basis. The TCD integrated the time activity analysis of each cohort members, with detailed history of occupancy duration in each radioactive area of the buildings and area-specific radiation exposure to the whole body. Cohort members recalled detailed information about previous occupancy on representative spots accordingly, with temporal exposures considering half-life of radioactive decay, that is, 5.27 years for Co-60. The TCD had been employed in several related studies (Wang *et al*, 2002; Hsieh *et al*, 2010) and comparable to biodosimetric analysis by fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation of stable chromosomal translocation frequencies (Hsieh *et al*, 1999). The average, median, and range of excess cumulative exposures above background radiation were 48 mGy, 6.3 mGy, and <1~2363 mGy.

The initial age of exposure (IAE), when the exposed subjects moved into or were born in these buildings, was 16.9 ± 16.5 (mean \pm 1 s.d.) years, ranging from 0 to 87 years old, and was much younger than most of the other radiation cohort studies. Significantly increased risks of leukaemia, excluding chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, were reported previously (Hwang *et al*, 2006, 2008). The current study further examined the magnitude of site-specific cancers risks, resulting from protracted radiation exposure and distinguished IAEs as contributing factor for cancer induction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 300 cancer cases were reported between 1983 and the end of 2012 through the countrywide National Cancer Registration systems among the 6242 cohort members. These included 247 cancer cases incident after a minimum latent period of 2 years for leukaemia and 10 years for solid cancers (ICRP, 1990; Hwang *et al*, 2006, 2008). For solid cancers, an alternative minimum latent period of 5 years was also used for sensitive analysis.

The mandatory cancer case report with pathological proof had been established in Taiwan since 1979, with health data accessible by Center of Health Information Application in Taiwan's Ministry of Health and Welfare. The RCB cohort was linked by personal identification number with the Taiwan Cancer Registry (Taiwan Cancer Registry Center, 2017) and the national database of Causes of Death. The study received ethical approval by the TMU-IRB review board.

The attained age was defined when they were diagnosed with a cancer or their age at the last follow-up or at 31 December 2012. With the sparse data for specific cancers during the follow-up period, the analysis of excess relative risk (ERR) by Cox model (hazard ratios; HR) could be more conservative than by the more unstable Poisson linear relative risk model and Poisson model (rate ratios). The ERR_{100mSv} were then calculated by subtracting 1 from HR_{100mSv} , and the HRs from each 100 mSv, HR_{100mSv} , were estimated for the association between cumulative exposure (as continuous variables) and related cancer risks by Cox proportional hazard models, adjusting the IAE, individual exposure via TCD, and the 'attained age' as the time scale. To examine the contribution of IAE to risk of breast cancers, Cox models were stratified by IAE > or \leq 20 years of age. Firth's penalised likelihood estimator was further used for cancer types with less than 10 incidents (Lin *et al*, 2013). The confidence intervals for site-specific cancers were partial likelihood-based or Firth's penalised likelihood-based for small numbers of events. A test was considered statistically significant if its two-tailed *P*-value was <0.10; this was equivalent to a threshold for a one-sided *P*-value <0.05 to test for an increased risk.

RESULTS

The distribution of the subjects with the solid cancers and leukaemia excluding chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) or multiple myeloma (MM), sex and age at initial exposure, cumulative exposure (TCD, mSv) were shown in Table 1. A total of 236 solid cancers were reported and 11 leukaemia within 97 106 person years among the 6242 subjects. The crude solid cancer incidence rate in female ($25.38/10^4$ person-year) was higher than male ($23.1/10^4$ person-year), but reverse result observed in leukaemia (1 vs $0.51/10^4$ person-year). Increased incidence rate by age at initial exposure and TCD were observed. Assuming minimum latent period 10 years for solid cancer and 2 year for

Table 1. Characteristics of the cohort population in radio-contaminated buildings (RCB cohort) by solid cancers and leukaemia

Characteristics	No. of subjects (%)	No. of cases	Solid cancers			Leukaemia		
			Cancer cases ^a	Person-years	Incidence rate ^a	Cancer cases ^a	Person-years	Incidence rate ^a
Overall	6242 (100)	247	236	97 106	24.3	11	14 7984	0.74
Sex								
Male	2968 (47.5)	113	106	45 890	23.1	7	69 849	1.00
Female	3274 (52.5)	134	130	51 216	25.38	4	78 135	0.51
Age at initial exposure (years)								
<20	3907 (62.6)	43	40	58 778	6.81	3	90 191	0.33
20–39	1721 (27.6)	112	108	29 614	36.47	4	43 979	0.91
\geq 40	614 (9.8)	92	88	8714	100.99	4	13 814	2.90
Mean; median (range)	16.9; 9.7 (<0–87)							
Cumulative exposure (Taiwan Cumulative Dose; mSv)								
<5	2932 (47.0)	79	79	41 671	18.96	0	65 448	0
5–99	2752 (44.1)	122	114	46 137	24.71	8	68 620	1.17
\geq 100	558 (8.9)	46	43	9298	46.25	3	13 916	2.16
Mean; median (range)	47.7; 6.3 (<1~2363)							

^aCancers with assumed minimum latent periods (leukaemia: 2 years; solid cancers: 10 years); Incidence rates are per 10 000 person years accounting for assumed minimum latent periods.

Table 2. Adjusted hazard ratio associated with a 100-mSv increase in cumulative exposure

Cancer site	Minimum latent period 10 years assumed for solid cancer and 2 years for leukaemia				Minimum latent period 5 years assumed for solid cancer and 2 years for leukaemia			
	Case ^a	HR _{100mSv} ^b	90% CI ^b	P-value ^b	Case ^a	HR _{100mSv} ^b	90% CI ^b	P-value ^b
All cancers	249	1.05	(1.00, 1.08)	0.04	282	1.05	(1.00, 1.08)	0.03
All cancers excluding leukaemia	241	1.04	(1.00, 1.08)	0.08	274	1.04	(1.00, 1.08)	0.05
All solid cancers	236	1.04	(1.00, 1.08)	0.07	269	1.04	(1.01, 1.08)	0.04
Female breast	35	1.11	(1.05, 1.20)	0.008	40	1.12	(1.04, 1.17)	0.002
Cervix uteri	24	1.10	(0.96, 1.20)	0.10	28	1.09	(0.97, 1.17)	0.13
Lung	24	1.10	(1.00, 1.17)	0.05	27	1.12	(1.04, 1.18)	0.004
Thyroid gland	20	1.06	(0.83, 1.17)	0.52	25	1.03	(0.80, 1.15)	0.75
Liver	18	1.05	(0.88, 1.15)	0.52	19	1.04	(0.88, 1.14)	0.57
Stomach	13	1.08	(0.92, 1.19)	0.27	15	1.10	(0.97, 1.19)	0.10
Rectum	16	1.03	(0.73, 1.17)	0.78	17	1.02	(0.71, 1.16)	0.87
Leukaemia excluding MM&CLL	8	1.18	(1.04, 1.28)	0.006	8	1.18	(1.04, 1.28)	0.006
Leukaemia excluding CLL	11	1.15	(1.03, 0.24)	0.012	11	1.15	(1.03, 1.24)	0.012

Abbreviations: CLL = chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; MM = multiple myeloma.
^aCancers with minimum latent periods (leukaemia:2 years; solid cancers:10 years or 5 years).
^bHR were adjusted for initial exposure age, 90% CI were partial likelihood-based, and P-values were two-sided.

Table 3. Relative hazards (HRs) of breast cancers by the initial age of exposure

Risk groups	Cases	Person years	Incidence (10 000 py)	HR	90% CI of HR	P-value
IAE >20 years old						
TCD <5 mSv	10	14131	7.1	1		
TCD 5–100 mSv	11	19816	5.6	0.88	0.43, 1.82	0.76
TCD ≥100 mSv	7	5123	13.7	2.07	0.89, 4.62	0.14
TCD 100 mSv (test for linear trend)				1.07	0.98, 1.14	0.14
IAE ≤20 years old						
TCD <5 mSv	1	27829	0.4	1		
TCD 5–100 mSv	4	26792	1.5	3.02	0.59, 31.82	0.33
TCD ≥100 mSv	2	4321	4.6	10.91	1.55, 125.9	0.05
TCD 100 mSv (test for linear trend)				1.38	1.14, 1.60	0.0008

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HR = Hazards ratio; IAE = initial age at exposure; TCD = Taiwan Cumulative Dose; person-years accounted for minimum latent periods as stated in Table 2. Breast cancers with minimum latent periods 10 years.

leukaemia and MM, significantly increased risks were observed for leukaemia excluding MM and chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (HR_{100mSv} 1.18; 90% CI 1.04–1.28, P = 0.006; Table 2), leukaemia excluding CCL (HR_{100mSv} 1.15; 90% CI 1.03–1.24, P = 0.012), female breast cancers (HR_{100mSv} 1.11; 90% CI 1.05–1.20, P = 0.008), and all cancers (HR_{100mSv} 1.05; 90% CI 1.01–1.08, P = 0.04). In addition, assuming shorter latent period of 5 years for solid cancers, increased risk for all solid cancers and lung cancer were noted (Table 2). The HR_{100mSv} for site-specific cancers were all > 1, with the relative hazards of female breast cancers in different exposure shown in Table 3. For individuals with IAE ≤ 20 years, a dose response increase on breast cancer risk was noted, with HR_{100mSv} 1.38 (90% CI 1.14–1.6; P = 0.0008). For those IAE > 20 years, the linear trend was less significant, with HR_{100mSv} 1.07 (90% CI 0.98–1.14; P = 0.14).

DISCUSSION

The follow-up on the cancer risks of this unique cohort extended up to 30 years after their initial radiation exposure. A total 300 cancer cases were collected, with 135 newly reported since the previous report (Hwang *et al*, 2008). These were mainly consisted of solid cancers and leukaemia and MM.

The cohort population comprised of individuals with a wide range of excessive cumulative exposure, including very low (<1 mSv) and close to unexposed populations. Moreover, the incidence rates were weighted by various durations of observation. Therefore, no parallel appropriate unexposed cohort population was available for comparison. The HR_{100mSv} for leukaemia excluding CLL was 1.18 (ERR_{100mSv} 0.18, 90% CI 1.04–1.28), with a smaller standard error estimate than ERR_{100mSv} 0.19 in the previous report (Hwang *et al*, 2008). On the other hands, the risk estimates for leukaemia were similar to those of the Techa River study (ERR_{100mSv} 0.22, 95% CI 0.08–0.54; (Krestinina *et al*, 2010) and the multi-national nuclear workers study (ERR_{100mSv} 0.19, 95% CI <0–0.85 and 0.193; Cardis *et al*, 2005; Vrijheid *et al*, 2007), but smaller than the Japanese Life Span Study (LSS) with acute radiation exposure (ERR_{100mSv} 0.31; (Preston *et al*, 1994)) and leukaemia mortality in the INWORKS nuclear workers study (ERR_{100mSv} 0.30, 90% CI 0.12–0.52; (Cardis *et al*, 2005; Vrijheid *et al*, 2007)). This could be caused by much lower exposures of this cohort than those of the Techa River with marrow doses up to 9 Gy and a mean 0.42 Gy (Krestinina *et al*, 2013), the LSS cohort, and similar to those of the nuclear workers.

As the members of the exposed cohort in Taiwan were not aware of the radiation when they moved in or studied in these buildings, risk factors like cigarette smoking and hormone exposure were assumed randomly occurred and unlikely to

confound the causal effect of radiation. Moreover, most of these cohorts had relocated to these newly constructed buildings or schools during strong economic development in Taiwan in 1980s, and were likely more socio-economically favorite groups, with less probabilities in adverse health behaviors like cigarette and drinking, compared with the other general public in Taiwan. Therefore, there could be mild under-estimation of the risks incurred in this cohort population.

IAE in the Taiwanese female cohort was relatively young, 16.9 ± 16.5 (s.d.) years old (Table 1) and the ERR_{100mSv} for breast cancer was estimated at 0.11 (90% CI 1.05–1.20) for 10 years latent period (Table 2), similar to the ERR_{100mSv} 0.12 (90% CI 0.07–0.19) in the Japanese LSS cohort, whose ages at exposure were between 10 and 19 years (Preston *et al*, 2007). On the other hand, age at initial exposure had been demonstrated to be an important effect modifier in relation to the dose response in the LSS study (Land *et al*, 2003; Preston *et al*, 2007). In the present study, those who were exposed initially equal or <20 years old were shown with a statistically significant radiation dose response and breast cancer risks (HR_{100mSv} 1.38, 90% CI 1.14–1.6), but not those with IAE above 20 years old (HR_{100mSv} 1.07; 90% CI 0.98–1.14). This suggested that women exposed at younger age were more sensitive to radiation, especially before the age of 20 compared with unexposure population. In either groups of IAE, the incidence rates of breast cancer with exposure ≥ 100 mSv were much higher than those < 100 mSv. With cigarette smoking rate in women generally below 5% during the last three decades in Taiwan, and the average incident age of breast cancers 51.7 years old, confounding by smoking or hormonal effect was very unlikely.

The ERR_{100mSv} of all solid cancers in this cohort was ~ 0.05 , similar to the 0.06 (95% CI 0.004–0.127) of the Techa River study (Schonfeld *et al*, 2013) and 0.047 (90% CI 0.04–0.05; 95% CI 0.039–0.055; Preston *et al*, 2007; Grant *et al*, 2017) of the LSS. In the present study, all point estimates of HR_{100mSv} in site-specific cancers were consistently > 1.

This suggested that when individuals were exposed at younger ages, the risks of developing breast cancers or solid cancers were similar for acute or chronic radiation exposure. Although the comparisons between protracted and acute exposure studies are a bit complicated. The exact magnitude of effects might not be fully comparable, however, the trends are noteworthy. A stronger dose response in breast cancer risks for the individuals with younger IEA ($P < 0.001$) than those with older IEA ($P = 0.14$) was noted, and was comparable with those by acute exposure.

With small numbers of leukaemia cases in this analysis, the sample size was not adequate to provide stratified analysis on their IAE. Although with relatively small numbers of cancer cases, as a relatively young study population, follow-up of this cohort will provide more results in the future.

In conclusion, the exposed cohort provided unique evidences of protracted, low-dose-rate radiation exposures, with cumulative exposure mostly <1 Sv. The estimates of the ERR for leukaemia were similar to the Techa River study, as well as to the 15-country nuclear workers' study, but are less than those of the LSS. On the other hand, the estimates of ERR for breast cancer were similar to the LSS among those exposed at ages 10–19. Those who were initially exposed before 20 years old had the highest relative risk of developing breast cancer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported in part by a research grant from Taiwan's Ministry of Science and Technology, by the Taiwan Ministry of Education through 'the Aim for the Top University plan' of National Yang-Ming University, by Health and Welfare Data Science Center,

Ministry of Health and Welfare, and by the National Association for Radiation Protection, Taiwan. Yu-Shan Cheng had helped in this study in the Table 2, leukaemia cancer analysis.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Cardis E, Vrijheid M, Blettner M, Gilbert E, Hakama M, Hill C, Howe G, Kaldor J, Muirhead CR, Schubauer-Berigan M, Yoshimura T, Bermann F, Cowper G, Fix J, Hacker C, Heinmiller B, Marshall M, Thierry-Chef I, Utterback D, Ahn YO, Amoros E, Ashmore P, Auvinen A, Bae JM, Solano JB, Biau A, Combalot E, Deboodt P, Diez Sacristan A, Eklof M, Engels H, Engholm G, Gulis G, Habib R, Holan K, Hyvonen H, Kerekes A, Kurtinaitis J, Malker H, Martuzzi M, Mastauskas A, Monnet A, Moser M, Pearce MS, Richardson DB, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Rogel A, Tardy H, Telle-Lamberton M, Turai I, Usel M, Veress K (2005) Risk of cancer after low doses of ionising radiation—retrospective cohort study in 15 countries. *BMJ* **331**: 77.
- Chang WP (1993) Spread of Taiwan's radiation panic. *Lancet* **342**: 1544.
- Chang WP, Chan CC, Wang JD (1997) ⁶⁰Co contamination in recycled steel resulting in elevated civilian radiation doses: causes and challenges. *Health Phys* **73**: 465–472.
- Chang WP, Kau J (1993) Taiwan: exposure to high doses of radiation. *Lancet* **341**: 750.
- Davis FG, Yu KL, Preston D, Epifanova S, Degteva M, Akleyev AV (2015) Solid cancer incidence in the techa river incidence cohort: 1956–2007. *Radiat Res* **184**: 56–65.
- Grant EJ, Brenner A, Sugiyama H, Sakata R, Sadakane A, Utada M, Cahoon EK, Milder CM, Soda M, Cullings HM, Preston DL, Mabuchi K, Ozasa K (2017) Solid cancer incidence among the life span study of atomic bomb survivors: 1958–2009. *Radiat Res* **187**: 513–537.
- Hsieh WA, Deng W, Chang WP, Galvan N, Owens CL, Morrison DP, Gale KL, Lucas JN (1999) Alpha coefficient of dose-response for chromosome translocations measured by FISH in human lymphocytes exposed to chronic ⁶⁰Co gamma rays at body temperature. *Int J Radiat Biol* **75**: 435–439.
- Hsieh WA, Lin IF, Chang WP, Chen WL, Hsu YH, Chen MS (2010) Lens opacities in young individuals long after exposure to protracted low-dose-rate γ radiation in ⁶⁰Co-contaminated buildings in Taiwan. *Radiat Res* **173**: 197–204.
- Hsu WL, Preston DL, Soda M, Sugiyama H, Funamoto S, Kodama K, Kimura A, Kamada N, Dohy H, Tomonaga M, Iwanaga M, Miyazaki Y, Cullings HM, Suyama A, Ozasa K, Shore RE, Mabuchi K (2013) The incidence of leukaemia, lymphoma and multiple myeloma among atomic bomb survivors: 1950–2001. *Radiat Res* **179**(3): 361–382.
- Hwang SL, Guo HR, Hsieh WA, Hwang JS, Lee SD, Tang JL, Chen CC, Chang TC, Wang JD, Chang WP (2006) Cancer risks in a population with prolonged low dose-rate gamma-radiation exposure in radiocontaminated buildings, 1983–2002. *Int J Radiat Biol* **82**: 849–858.
- Hwang SL, Hwang JS, Yang YT, Hsieh WA, Chang TC, Guo HR, Tsai MH, Tang JL, Lin IF, Chang WP (2008) Estimates of relative risks for cancers in a population after prolonged low-dose-rate radiation exposure: a follow-up assessment from 1983 to 2005. *Radiat Res* **170**: 143–148.
- ICRP (1990) *Recommendations on the International Commission on Radiological Protection* Vol. 21 Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK.
- Krestinina L, Preston D, Davis F, Epifanova S, Ostroumova E, Ron E, Akleyev A (2010) Leukemia incidence among people exposed to chronic radiation from the contaminated Techa River, 1953–2005. *Radiat Environ Biophys* **49**: 195–201.
- Krestinina LY, Davis FG, Schonfeld S, Preston DL, Degteva M, Epifanova S, Akleyev AV (2013) Leukaemia incidence in the Techa River Cohort: 1953–2007. *Br J Cancer* **109**: 2886–2893.
- Land CE, Tokunaga M, Koyama K, Soda M, Preston DL, Nishimori I, Tokuoka S (2003) Incidence of female breast cancer among atomic bomb survivors, Hiroshima and Nagasaki, 1950–1990. *Radiat Res* **160**: 707–717.
- Leuraud K, Richardson DB, Cardis E, Daniels RD, Gillies M, O'Hagan JA, Hamra GB, Haylock R, Laurier D, Moissonnier M, Schubauer-Berigan MK,

- Thierry-Chef I, Kesminiene A (2015) Ionising radiation and risk of death from leukaemia and lymphoma in radiation-monitored workers (INWORKS): an international cohort study. *Lancet Haematol* 2: e276–e281.
- Lin IF, Chang WP, Liao YN (2013) Shrinkage methods enhanced the accuracy of parameter estimation using Cox models with small number of events. *J Clin Epidemiol* 66: 743–751.
- Ozasa K, Shimizu Y, Suyama A, Kasagi F, Soda M, Grant EJ, Sakata R, Sugiyama H, Kodama K (2012) Studies of the mortality of atomic bomb survivors, report 14, 1950-2003: an overview of cancer and noncancer diseases. *Radiat Res* 177: 229–243.
- Preston DL, Ron E, Tokuoka S, Funamoto S, Nishi N, Soda M, Mabuchi K, Kodama K (2007) Solid cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors: 1958-1998. *Radiat Res* 168: 1–64.
- Preston DL, Kusumi S, Tomonaga M, Izumi S, Ron E, Kuramoto A, Kamada N, Dohy H, Matsui T, Nonaka H, Thompson DE, Soda M, Mabuchi K (1994) Cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors. Part III. Leukemia, lymphoma and multiple myeloma, 1950-1987. [erratum appears in *Radiat Res* 1994;139(1): 129]. *Radiat Res* 137: S68–S97.
- Richardson DB, Cardis E, Daniels RD, Gillies M, O'Hagan JA, Hamra GB, Haylock R, Laurier D, Leuraud K, Moissonnier M, Schubauer-Berigan MK, Thierry-Chef I, Kesminiene A (2015) Risk of cancer from occupational exposure to ionising radiation: retrospective cohort study of workers in France, the United Kingdom, and the United States (INWORKS). *BMJ* 351: h5359.
- Schonfeld SJ, Krestinina LY, Epifanova S, Degteva MO, Akleyev AV, Preston DL (2013) Solid cancer mortality in the techa river cohort. (1950-2007). *Radiat Res* 179: 183–189.
- Taiwan Cancer Registry Center (2017) (<http://tcr.cph.ntu.edu.tw/main.php?Page=N1>).
- Vrijheid M, Cardis E, Blettner M, Gilbert E, Hakama M, Hill C, Howe G, Kaldor J, Muirhead CR, Schubauer-Berigan M, Yoshimura T, Ahn YO, Ashmore P, Auvinen A, Bae JM, Engels H, Gulis G, Habib RR, Hosoda Y, Kurtinaitis J, Malke H, Moser M, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Rogel A, Tardy H, Telle-Lamberton M, Turai I, Ussel M, Veress K (2007) The 15-country collaborative study of cancer risk among radiation workers in the nuclear industry: design, epidemiological methods and descriptive results. *Radiat Res* 167: 361–379.
- Wang JC, Lin YP, Hwang SL, Hsieh WA, Chen JC, Tang JL, Hwang JS, Lu WJ, Chang WP (2002) Late haematological changes in population with chronic low dose-rate radiation exposure during childhood. *Br J Radiol* 75: 135–140.

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 Unported License.